YOUR ADDRESS

TODAY’s DATE 2021

GP Name and Address

Coronavirus and Denial of Medical Treatment

Dear Dr. NAME

I refer to your recent refusal to carry out medical treatment after I informed you I was declining a coronavirus test. I am formally making you aware of how your illegal refusal of medical treatment is in violation of the Universal Convention on Human Rights. Please take note: -

• As of 19 March 2020, ‘COVID-19’ is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the Europe. It is therefore unclear as to why the government and the Health Service has continued to pursue testing for a largely non-critical disease.

• All of my research to date on the supposed ‘efficacy’ of coronavirus testing has shown that these produce a high percentage of false positives. Neither has there been any published peer reviewed studies as to how these tests might have adverse effects on human recipients, or how it might interact with other medications or conditions in the patient.

• From all of the publicly available information around testing, I am deeply concerned that this is not safe and leads to a number of medical conditions including the puncturing of the membrane between the septum and the brain. Your insistence on testing therefore contravenes your Hippocratic oath to ‘do no harm’.

• As PCR testing in particular should not be used for viral diagnosis (as cited publicly by its creator) and is known to pick up dead viral cells at cycles beyond 40, it cannot with any degree of accuracy find coronavirus cells in the patient. This is therefore a medical experiment and in the case of this supposed medical emergency remains subject to the Nuremberg Code of medical ethics. In particular, the risk of the medical experiment must be weighed against the expected benefit. There is no available evidence that this risk/benefit analysis has been carried out and that potential serious or fatal injury to patients due to coronavirus testing has not been quantified or qualified.

• Neither should the degree of risk taken ever exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment. In the case of Covid19/Coronavirus, the government’s own data shows a recovery rate from the infection of 99.97%. Why then the enforcement of face coverings?

Ultimately, the voluntary consent of the patient/subject is absolutely essential. I have the legal capacity to give my consent, and, in this case, I will decline my consent, having chosen not to participate in this medical experiment. I will therefore not be forced into this breach of medical ethics through forced testing. Your insistence on making testing a precondition to treatment is itself unethical in that it hampers the individual’s exercise of freedom of choice and in itself contains an element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior forms of constraint or coercion in order to receive treatment. This is contrary to all general codes of medical ethics including the Nuremberg Code and is a crime against humanity.

I trust that you will find this in order and that you can appreciate the deeply held concerns that I have about this experimental programme and the many unknowns as to its ongoing efficacy and safety.

I also expect an apology, in writing, for your refusal of treatment and your threat to illegally withdraw medical treatment. Withholding medical care is a clear breach of our inalienable human rights and is also a crime against humanity.

I would also like you to confirm an appointment to have the treatment carried out.

Thank you

Your Name

CC Chief Executive – Health Trust